ISSUE	RESOURCE IMPACT	CONCERN
Impoundments	Shoreline erosion, sediment deposition, and altered aquatic community	 Shoreline erosion may be accelerated under various impoundment management scenarios Reduced water velocity causes the water to drop its sediment load and fill the impoundment. Impoundment fish assemblages typically resemble lacustrine
Raise Impoundment Water Level	Inundate free-flowing habitat	 communities rather than fluvial/riverine communities. Loss of fluvial habitat Increased sediment deposition could degrade suitability of aquatic habitats in impoundment and below dam Increased residence time could degrade water quality; e.g., temperature, and change mussel and fish community composition Loss or change of wetland composition (functions/values) Impacts to riparian vegetation by increased water table Loss or gain of colonial bird nesting in trees May affect function / operation of existing fish passage facilities
Impoundment Water- level Fluctuations	Periodic dewatering of littoral zone and fluctuations of water depth and velocity, especially upper end of impoundment, shore line, and shallow areas.	 Invertebrates susceptible to desiccation, predation Impacts to submerged and emergent aquatic vegetation Impacts to shoreline plant communities Increased susceptibility to invasive plants becoming established Loss of persistent habitat for young-of-year fish and invertebrates Dewatering of littoral fish nests Fish nest abandonment If seasonal drawdowns, timing could cause mortality of hibernating herptiles, and loss or displacement of fur bearers; e.g., beaver, muskrat. Drawdowns can increase predation of nests and young of loons and other ground nesting birds Increased water levels can flood nests and young of island/shoreline nesting species like loons Seasonal and/or deep drawdowns may affect mercury levels Long residence time (i.e., turnover) under peaking or store/release mode could cause dissolved oxygen (DO) and temps. to deviate from state standards and/or reach critical levels for fish/mussels

ISSUE	RESOURCE ISSUE	IMPACT
Below-Dam Flows	Flow fluctuations due to hydro operations	 Some effects listed for impoundments can occur downstream of the dam as flows fluctuate Reduction in persistent habitat due to change in amount and location of suitable habitat between base flows and peak flows(habitat location shifts, vulnerability to predation) Invertebrates susceptible to desiccation & predation due to periodic dewatering of stream margins and shallow water areas Dewatering of fish nests Fish nest abandonment due to changing depth, velocities Increased susceptibility to invasive plants becoming established If long periods between generating, DO and temps may deviate from state standards and/or reach critical levels for fish/mussels Velocity barriers to upstream fish movement and migration Loss / reduction of woody debris and detritus
Bypass Reaches	Flow regime altered from pre- project condition	 Reduction or cessation of flow can eliminate habitat, reduce habitat suitability, and/or degrade water quality in bypass reach Change in substrate composition, especially fines, gravel, cobble
Intake Racks / Screening	Impingement/Entrainment	If approach velocities and spacing of intake racks, punch plates, screens, etc. are not designed properly, fish could become impinged or entrained
Intake Location	Vertical elevation of intake	Deep intake could draw off low DO water, high intake could draw off high temperature water – either could cause water quality issues downstream
Turbine Type	Injury or mortality of entrained fishes	 Different turbine types present different risks of injury or mortality (also influenced by head at project, size of turbines, species and life stage of fish, etc.) Routing flow through turbines versus spill over dam could lower DO (lack of reaeration for most types; crossflow and Archimedes Screw turbines may be exceptions)

CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH TRADITIONAL HYDROPOWER PROJECTS

ISSUE	RESOURCE IMPACT	CONCERN
Fish Passage – proposed	Reduction of passage efficiency,	Upstream migrants could be falsely attracted to the tailrace
project @dam with	safety, timeliness, and effectiveness	discharge, resulting in delay or reduction in # of fish passing
existing fishway		upstream
		• Far field attraction and/or zone of passage to upstream fish passage
		facility may be altered by change in hydrology or physical
		modification
		Most downstream migrants would be attracted to intake – risk of
		injury/mortality due to impingement/entrainment
Fish Passage – Project	Are existing facilities providing safe,	Are there identified passage problems?
w/ existing fishway up for relicense	timely and effective passage?	 Is existing information sufficient to determine if there are any problems?
		Will any proposed project changes potentially affect safe, timely, or
		effective fish passage?
		Have target species/life stages changed since fishways were
		constructed?
Fish Passage – Proposed	Need, Timing, Target Species	Determine if there is a biological or management need to
or existing project with		accomplish fish passage through the project
no passage facilities		Determine appropriate timeline for implementation.
		Determine target species, relevant design criteria, etc.
Transmission Lines –	Construction, Operation,	Land that needs to be cleared for new lines may contain sensitive
New and Existing	Maintenance	spp or natural communities
		Ongoing maintenance activities of transmission corridor could use
		methods that have secondary effects to wildlife and/or habitat
		(e.g., herbicides)
		 Do certain maintenance activities of power lines provide a benefit
		to early successional species?
		Electrocution of raptors and large birds
		Clearing vegetation in rights-of-way during bird nesting season
		Bird strikes at over-water transmission lines